19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants.

Filed 12/19/2007

2

11

12

13

181

20

21 22

23

24 25

27

28

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief brought by Plaintiff the Center for Biological Diversity ("the Center") in connection with the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531 1544 ("ESA"). The Secretary of the Interior ("Secretary") has violated the ESA by failing to designate sufficient critical habitat for the spreading navarretia (Navarretia fossalis) and the thread-leaved brodiaea (Brodiaea filifolia) to ensure the conservation and recovery of both species. 16 U.S.C. § 1533(a)(3). The spreading navarretia is an ascending herb, with white to lavender flowers, which grows in vernal pools, clay flats, irrigation ditches, and grasslands from north-western Los Angeles County and western Riverside County, south through coastal San Diego County into north-western Baja California, Mexico. It was listed as threatened on October 13, 1998. 70 Fed. Reg. 60658, 60659 (Oct. 18, 2005). The thread-leaved brodiaea is a flowering perennial herb usually found in herbaceous plant communities that occur in open areas on clay soils in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Orange, Riverside, and San Diego counties. The species was listed as threatened on October 13, 1998, 70 Fed. Reg. 73820, 73820 (Dec. 13, 2005). This action seeks an order declaring that the Secretary has failed to designate sufficient critical habitat to provide for the conservation of the spreading navarretia and the thread-leaved brodiaea and compelling the Secretary to revise the critical habitat designation in a manner necessary to conserve the species. This action arises under and alleges violations under the ESA, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531-1544, and the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), 5 U.S.C. §§ 551 et sea.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (federal question); and 16 U.S.C. §§ 1540(c) and (g) (action arising under the ESA and its citizen suit provision).
- 3. Venue is properly vested in this Court pursuant to 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(3)(A) because the species are both found in this district and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) because Plaintiff maintain an office within this judicial district.

4. Plaintiff provided 60 days notice of intent to file this suit pursuant to the citizen suit provision of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(2)(C), by letter to the Defendant dated and sent via certified mail on August 28, 2007. The Defendant did not respond to the notice of intent to sue nor has the Defendant remedied the alleged violations. Therefore, an actual controversy exists between the parties within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2201. For all claims, Plaintiff has exhausted all of the administrative remedies available to them.

III. RELATED CASES

5. This case is related to the pending matter Southwest Ctr. for Biological Diversity v. Bartel, No. 98-CV-2234- B (JMA), pending before this court.

IV. PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY ("Center"), formerly known as the Southwest Center for Biological Diversity, is a non-profit corporation with offices in San Francisco, Los Angeles, San Diego, and Joshua Tree, California; Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona; Silver City, New Mexico; and Washington, D.C. The Center is actively involved in species and habitat protection issues in California and throughout the United States. The Center has over 40,000 members throughout the United States, including many members who reside in Southern California in and near habitat for the spreading navarretia and the thread-leaved brodiaea. The Center's members and staff have educational, scientific research, spiritual and aesthetic interests in the spreading navarretia and the thread-leaved brodiaea and the conservation and recovery of these two species. The Center's members and staff also enjoy the biological and aesthetic values of the spreading navarretia and the thread-leaved brodiaea and have visited many of the areas where the species grow and which Plaintiff alleges were improperly excluded from the designated critical habitat. The Center, its members and staff have participated in efforts to protect and preserve the habitat essential to the continued survival of both species including efforts to preserve the remaining vernal pool habitat and clay flats in Southern California.
- 7. Plaintiff, its staff and members believe that the health of the spreading navarretia and the thread-leaved brodiaea are representative of the overall health of some of southern

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Plaintiff, its staff and members have been and continue to be actively involved in efforts to protect and restore the health of the habitat of the spreading navarretia, the threadleaved brodiaea, and the many other species dependent upon the habitats where these species are found. Such efforts to urge increased state and federal protection for these species and their habitats include participation in the critical habitat designation administrative process, and participation in other efforts related to various federal and state agencies permitting and approvals.
- 9. The above-described aesthetic, conservation, scientific, educational, and other interests of Plaintiff, its staff and members have been, are being, and, unless the relief prayed for herein is granted; will continue to be adversely affected and irreparably injured by the failure of Defendant to designate adequate critical habitat for the spreading navarretia and the threadleaved brodiaea.
- 10. The injuries described above are actual, concrete injuries suffered by Plaintiff, its staff and its members. These injuries are caused by Defendants' failure to designate adequate critical habitat for the spreading navarretia and the thread-leaved brodiaea. The relief sought herein would redress Plaintiff's injuries. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
 - 11. Defendant DIRK KEMPTHORNE is the Secretary of the Interior and is the

Filed 12/19/2007

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

2728

promulgating regulations required by and in accordance with the ESA, including proposed and final critical habitat decisions. Dirk Kempthorne is sued in his official capacity.

12. Defendant U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE is an agency within the Department of the Interior which has been delegated the responsibility of the Secretary of the

federal official in whom the ESA vests final responsibility for making decisions and

Interior described above including responsibility for ESA critical habitat designation.

V. FACTS

A. Legal Background.

- upon which endangered species and threatened species depend may be conserved, [and] to provide a program for the conservation of such endangered species and threatened species"

 16 U.S.C. § 1531(b). To this end, section 4 of the ESA requires that the Secretary protect such species by listing them as either "threatened" or "endangered," and by designating "critical habitat" for each listed threatened or endangered species at the time the species is listed. 16 U.S.C. § 1533.
- 14. "Conserve" and "conservation," as defined in the ESA, "means to use and the use of all methods and procedures necessary to bring any endangered species or threatened species to the point at which the measures provided pursuant to this chapter are no longer necessary." ESA § 3(3), 16 U.S.C. § 1532(3).
- 15. The ESA requires that the Secretary designate areas of critical habitat for endangered species necessary for the conservation of the species in order to provide the habitat necessary to promote recovery of the species such that the protections of the ESA are no longer needed. ESA §§ 4(a)(3)(A), 3(5)(A) and (3), 16 U.S.C. §§ 1533(a)(3)(A), 1532(5)(A) and (3).
 - 16. "Critical habitat" means
 - (i) the specific areas within the geographical area occupied by the species at the time it was listed in accordance with the provisions of section 1533 of this title, on which are found those physical or biological features (I) essential to the conservation of the species and (II) which may require special management considerations or protection; and

18

20

24

22

28

(ii) specific areas outside the geographical area occupied by the species at the time it is listed in accordance with the provisions of section 1533 of this title, upon a determination by the Secretary that such areas are essential for the conservation of the species.

Document 1

ESA § 3(5)(A), 16 U.S.C. § 1532(5)(A).

- 17. Section 4(b)(2) of the ESA provides that some areas may be excluded from designation as critical habitat based on economic considerations. However, habitat may not be excluded from designation as critical habitat if the "failure to designate such area as critical habitat will result in the extinction of the species concerned." ESA § 4(b)(2), 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2).
- 18. Designated critical habitat is protected from destruction and adverse modification pursuant to Section 7(a)(2) of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2).
- 19. Section 4(f) of the ESA requires the Secretary to develop and implement recovery plans for the conservation and survival of endangered and threatened species. ESA § 4(f), 16 U.S.C. § 1533(f).
 - В. The Species and Their Habitats.
- 20. The spreading navarretia (Navarretia fossalis) is a spreading or ascending, annual herb, growing to 4 to 6 inches tall. Its small leaves become spine-tipped when dry and its flower has white to lavender white petals arranged in flat, compact heads. It is a unique species adapted to Southern California's harsh and arid conditions. The plant is found from north-western Los Angeles County and western Riverside County through coastal San Diego County and northwestern Baja California. The spreading navarretia grows at the edges of vernal pools, in clay flats, irrigation ditches, alkali grasslands, alkali playas, and alkali sinks.
- 21. Vernal pools are seasonal wetlands that occur for variable periods from winter to spring, but may be completely dry for most of the summer and fall. These wetlands range in size from small puddles to shallow lakes and are usually found in a gently sloping plain of grassland. More than 90 percent of the vernal pool habitat in Southern California has been lost to human activity. Existing habitat is threatened by destruction and fragmentation from urban and

18

20

22

26

24

27

agricultural development, pipeline construction, off-road vehicle activity, trampling by cattle and sheep, weed abatement, fire suppression practices, alteration of hydrology and floodplain dynamics, and competition from alien plant species. Additionally, the incorporation of livestock manure into fields along the San Jacinto River is a threat due to its alteration of the alkali nature of soils in the floodplain. The spreading navarretia is an ascending herb, with white to lavender flowers, which grows in vernal pools, clay flats, irrigation ditches, and grasslands from northwestern Los Angeles County and western Riverside County, south through coastal San Diego County into north-western Baja California, Mexico. It was listed as threatened on October 13, 1998. 70 Fed. Reg. 60658, 60659 (Oct. 18, 2005). On September 3, 1998, the Secretary adopted a Recovery Plan for Vernal Pools of Southern California which included the spreading navarretia which was then proposed for listing.

- 22. The thread-leaved brodiaea (Brodiaea filifolia) is a perennial herb that grows from an underground stem. Its stems are slender and rigid and bear three to six bell-shaped, roseviolet flowers. Historically, the thread-leaved brodiaea ranged from the base of the San Gabriel and San Bernardino Mountains, in Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties, south to western Riverside and San Diego Counties. It is now known to be found in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside and San Diego Counties. The plant grows at the edges of vernal pools, on grasslands, and floodplains. It grows on various soil types, including Diablo and Altamont clays, Las Flores loamy fine sand, and semi-alkaline mud flats. The thread-leaved brodiaea is a flowering perennial herb usually found in herbaceous plant communities that occur in open areas on clay soils in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Orange, Riverside, and San Diego counties. The species was listed as threatened on October 13, 1998. 70 Fed. Reg. 73820, 73820 (Dec. 13, 2005). The Recovery Plan for Vernal Pools of Southern California mentioned the thread-leaved brodiaea but did not provide a recovery plan for the species and no other recovery plan has been developed for this species. To date, the Secretary has violated the ESA by failing to provide any recovery plan for the thread-leaved brodiaea.
 - 23. The thread-leaved brodiaea grows in habitat similar to the spreading navarretia

and thus faces similar threats from the loss of this shared habitat. Designating and protecting sufficient critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea is imperative for its continued existence and for its recovery. Habitat for this species is threatened by urban development, off-road vehicle use, clearing for firebreaks, flood control schemes, weed control, over-grazing, and competition from alien plant species. Like the spreading navarretia, the species is also threatened by the alteration of soil chemistry resulting from the dumping of manure and sewage sludge on habitat along the San Jacinto River.

C. Prior Administrative and Judicial Proceedings.

- 24. At the time of listing of the spreading navarretia as threatened, the Secretary concluded that the designation of critical habitat was not prudent. On November 15, 2001, the Center filed suit challenging this finding. *Ctr. Biological Diversity, et al. v. Norton*, No. 01-CV-2101 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 15, 2001). In an order dated July 1, 2002, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California directed that the finding be reconsidered, and that a proposed critical habitat rule, if prudent, be published on or before January 30, 2004. On October 1, 2004, after receiving extensions from the District Court, the Service published a proposed rule that identified 31,086 acres of essential habitat and proposed designating 4,301 acres as critical habitat for the spreading navarretia. 69 Fed. Reg. 60110, 60110 (Oct. 7, 2004).
- 25. Similarly, after listing the thread-leaved brodiaea as threatened, the Secretary concluded that the designation of critical habitat was not prudent. On November 15, 2001, the Center and the California Native Plant Society filed suit challenging this finding in the same suit with the spreading navarretia and other species. *Center for Biological Diversity et al. v. Department of the Interior et al.*, Case No. CV 01-2101. On July 1, 2002, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California directed that the "not prudent" finding be reconsidered, and that a proposed critical habitat rule, if prudent, be published on or before November 30, 2004. On December 8, 2004, the Service published a proposed rule that identified 9,403 acres of essential habitat and proposed designating 4,690 acres as critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea. 69 Fed. Reg. 71283-71319 (Dec. 8, 2004).

Filed 12/19/2007

13

11

28

26

D. **Critical Habitat Designation**

- 26. On October 1, 2005, the Service published its final critical habitat designation for the spreading navarretia. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for *Navarretia fossalis* (spreading navarretia), 70 Fed. Reg. 60657 (October 1, 2005). The final rule for the spreading navarretia only protects 652 acres of critical habitat although the Service had proposed designating 4,301 acres as critical habitat and had identified 31,086 acres of habitat that it found was essential to the species' conservation. *Id.* The Service found that nearly 60 percent of the known spreading navarretia populations are concentrated in southern San Diego County, along the San Jacinto River in western Riverside County, and near Hemet in Riverside County. 70 Fed. Reg. 60659. However, the final rule covers only 652 acres of land in San Diego County and Los Angeles County, excluding Riverside County entirely, and leaving unprotected the vast majority of essential habitat and existing spreading navarretia populations.
- 27. On December 13, 2005, the Service published its final critical habitat designation for the thread-leaved brodiaea. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for *Brodiaea filifolia* (thread-leaved brodiaea), 70 Fed. Reg. 73820 (December 13, 2005). Of the originally proposed 4,690 acres of critical habitat and over 9,000 acres of essential habitat identified, the final designation of critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea was only 597 acres. Id.

VI. VIOLATIONS OF LAW

- 28. The final rule designating critical habitat for the spreading navarretia, 70 Fed. Reg. 60657 (October 1, 2005), contradicts the United States Fish and Wildlife Service's recovery plan and recommendations by peer reviewers.
- 29. The Service excluded from critical habitat for the spreading navarretia approximately 21,384 acres of non-Federal lands within the San Diego Multiple Species Conservation Program, Western Riverside Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, and Northwestern San Diego Multiple Habitat Conservation Plan. 70 Fed. Reg. 60675. The Section

Filed 12/1<u>9/</u>2007

3(5)(A) analysis erroneously described the existing conservation plans, relied on draft plans, and erroneously concluded that the existence of conservation plans indicates that the designation of critical habitat is not necessary. Additionally, the Service's Section 4(b)(2) analysis exaggerated the economic and other costs of critical habitat designation, while underestimating the benefits, thereby rendering the 4(b)(2) exclusions arbitrary and capricious.

- 30. In the final rule designating critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea, the Service improperly excluded habitat that met the definition of critical habitat in Section 3(5)(A) of the ESA because it required special management as evidenced by the fact that it was already being managed for the species on public lands. Additionally, the Service improperly exaggerated costs and ignored benefits of critical habitat when deciding to exclude areas from critical habitat designation under Section 4(b)(2). Finally, the Service improperly relied upon a draft conservation plan for the species and irrationally ignored and contradicted its peer reviewers by limiting essential habitat to populations containing 850 plants or more. Many existing populations of plants were thus arbitrarily excluded from critical habitat protection despite the peer review and despite the recovery mandate of the ESA.
- 31. The final designation of critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea was arbitrary, capricious, and in contradiction to the best available scientific information indicating the extent and the area necessary for the conservation of the species.
- 32. The ESA requires development and implementation of a recovery plan for all listed species unless the Secretary "finds that such a plan will not promote conservation of the species." ESA § 4(f), 16 U.S.C. 1533(f). Defendants' failure to provide a recovery plan for the thread-leaved brodiaea violates the ESA. Defendants' failure to implement the vernal pool recovery plan to protect and recover the spreading navarretia also violates the ESA.
- .33. Throughout the process of designating critical habitat for both species, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service relied on a regulatory definition of "destruction or adverse modification" of critical habitat that has been repeatedly declared invalid. See 50 C.F.R. § 402.02. In Gifford Pinchot Task Force v. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, 378 F.3d 1059, 1069-70

27

11

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

12

13 14

15 16

18 19

17

21 22

23

27

28

(9th Cir. 2004), the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals determined that the regulation improperly eliminated the recovery goal and benefit from critical habitat designation. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service has, to date, failed to revise the regulation. As a result, Defendants relied on invalid criteria to calculate benefits of critical habitat designation.

34. Defendants failed to properly identify and analyze the benefits of designating critical habitat before weighing the costs and benefits necessary to justify the exclusion of habitat from critical habitat designation pursuant to Section 4(b)(2) of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2). For example, the Service improperly relied on an the economic analysis that attributed costs associated with listing the species and other costs to the designation of critical habitat; included speculative costs; and relied on unsupportable assumptions concerning the economic impacts of such designation. Therefore, Defendants acted in violation of Section 4(b)(2) of the ESA.

VII. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Violation of the Endangered Species Act: spreading navarretia)

- 35. Each and every allegation set forth above is incorporated herein by reference.
- 36. Defendants failed to issue a legally sufficient critical habitat designation for the spreading navarretia, in violation of Section 3 and Section 4 of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. §§ 1532(5)(A) and 1533(a)(3)(A). Defendants relied on an invalid regulatory definition of adverse modification of critical habitat in concluding that designating critical habitat for the spreading navarretia would have little to no benefit for the species, and Defendants' assertion that the economic benefits of designating critical habitat of a listed species are insubstantial is not based on the best scientific and commercial data available and is contrary to law. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to: improperly basing their decision on the faulty legal premise that habitat which requires special management should not be designated as critical habitat; failing to rely on the best scientific and commercial data available in determining the extent of essential habitat and designating critical habitat; failing to properly consider all occupied and unoccupied habitat essential to the conservation of the species in making its determination of critical habitat; improperly relying on an invalid regulation; failing to quantify

Filed 12/1<u>9/</u>2007

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

and analyze the economic and other benefits of designating critical habitat for the spreading navarretia; improperly attributing costs associated with listing the species and other costs to the designation of critical habitat; improperly including speculative costs; and relying on unsupportable assumptions concerning the economic impacts of designation. 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2).

37. For the above reasons, and others, Defendants failed to perform their nondiscretionary duties as required by the ESA, and have acted in a manner that is arbitrary, capricious, and not in accordance with law. ESA § 11(g), 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g); 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF VIII.

(Violation of the Endangered Species Act: thread-leaved brodiaea)

- 38 Each and every allegation set forth above is incorporated herein by reference.
- 39. Defendants failed to issue a legally sufficient critical habitat designation for the thread-leaved brodiaea, in violation of Section 3 and Section 4 of the ESA. 16 U.S.C. §§ 1532(5)(A) and 1533(a)(3)(A). Defendants relied on an invalid regulatory definition of adverse modification of critical habitat in concluding that designating critical habitat for the threadleaved brodiaea would have little to no benefit for the species, and Defendants' assertion that the economic benefits of designating critical habitat of a listed species are insubstantial is not based on the best scientific and commercial data available and is contrary to law. Defendants' violations include, but are not limited to: improperly basing their decision on the faulty legal premise that habitat which requires special management should not be designated as critical habitat; failing to rely on the best scientific and commercial data available in determining the extent of essential habitat and designating critical habitat; failing to properly consider all occupied and unoccupied habitat essential to the conservation of the species in making its determination of critical habitat; improperly relying on an invalid regulation; failing to quantify and analyze the economic and other benefits of designating critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea; improperly attributing costs associated with listing the species and other costs to the

16

25

designation of critical habitat; improperly including speculative costs; and relying on unsupportable assumptions concerning the economic impacts of such designation. 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2).

- 40. Defendants' failure to develop and implement a recovery plan for the threadleaved brodiaea also violates the ESA. ESA § 4(f), 16 U.S.C. 1533(f)...
- 41. For the above reasons, and others, Defendants failed to perform their nondiscretionary duties as required by the ESA, and have acted in a manner that is arbitrary, capricious, and not in accordance with law. ESA § 11(g), 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g); 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment providing the following relief:

- (1) Declare that Defendant Dirk Kempthorne, Secretary of the Interior, and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service violated the ESA by issuing an inadequate designation of critical habitat for the spreading navarretia that fails to provide sufficient critical habitat necessary for conservation of the species;
- (2) Direct by injunction that the Secretary issue a revised critical habitat designation for the spreading navarretia which corrects these errors, and which otherwise provides for the conservation of the species, by a date certain;
- (3) In order to protect the species pending the completion of an adequate critical habitat designation for the spreading navarretia, enjoin the Secretary of the Interior and the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service from issuing any approval, biological opinion, or concurrence pursuant to Section 7 of the ESA, for any actions that may harm the spreading navarretia or cause destruction or adverse modification to the spreading navarretia habitat within areas of proposed critical habitat that were excluded from designation as critical habitat in the final rule by Defendant pursuant to ESA section 4(b), 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2), or may harm the spreading navarretia or cause destruction or adverse modification to spreading

navarretia designated critical habitat;

1

2

6

9

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- (4) Declare that Defendant Dirk Kempthorne, Secretary of the Interior, and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service violated the ESA by issuing an inadequate designation of critical habitat for the thread-leaved brodiaea, that fails to provide sufficient critical habitat necessary for conservation of the species:
- (5) Direct by injunction that the Secretary issue a revised critical habitat designation for the thread-leaved brodiaea which corrects these errors, and which otherwise provides for the conservation of the species, by a date certain:
- (6)In order to protect the species pending the completion of an adequate critical habitat designation for the thread-leaved brodiaea, enjoin the Secretary of the Interior and the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service from issuing any approval, biological 12|| opinion, or concurrence pursuant to Section 7 of the ESA, for any actions that may harm the 13|| thread-leaved brodiaea or cause destruction or adverse modification to the thread-leaved brodiaea habitat within areas of proposed critical habitat that were excluded from designation as critical 15|| habitat in the final rule by Defendant pursuant to ESA section 4(b), 16 U.S.C. § 1533(b)(2), or may harm the thread-leaved brodiaea or cause destruction or adverse modification to threadleaved brodiaea designated critical habitat;
 - **(7)** Declare that 50 C.F.R. § 402.02 is invalid, order the Secretary to vacate and set aside this regulation, and order the Secretary to promulgate a rule defining "adverse modification" in a manner consistent with the ESA by a date certain;
 - (8)Grant Plaintiff its fees, costs, expenses and disbursements, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - (9).Grant Plaintiff such additional and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: December 18, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Justin Augustine (CA Bar No. 235561)

27 28

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

1095 Market St., Suite 511 San Francisco, CA 94103

Telephone: (415) 436-9682 x 307

Facsimile: (415) 436-9683

lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org jaugustine@biologicaldiversity.org

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Filed 12/19/2007 Case 3:07-cv-02379-W-NLS Document 1 ORIGINAL Lisa T. Belenky (CA Bar No. 203225) Justin Augustine (CA Bar No. 235561) CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 1095 Market St., Suite 511 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 436-9682 x 307 Facsimile: (415) 436-9683 lbelenky@biologicaldiversity.org jaugustine@biologicaldiversity.org Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL 11 '07 CV 2379 W NLS DIVERSITY, 12 Plaintiff, 13 NOTICE OF PARTY WITH FINANCIAL **INTEREST** 14 15 BY FAX UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE) 16 SERVICE and DIRK KEMPTHORNE, Secretary of the Interior, 17 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Notice Of Party With Financial Interest

NOTICE OF PARTY WITH FINANCIAL INTEREST

Pursuant to Local Rule 40.2, I, the undersigned counsel of record for Plaintiff, the Center for Biological Diversity, state that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the Center for Biological Diversity is a non-profit corporation that has no parent companies, subsidiaries, or affiliates which have outstanding securities in the hands of the public.

DATED: December / 2007

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa T. Belenky (CA Bar No. 203225)

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

1095 Market St., Suite 511 San Francisco, CA 94103

Telephone: (415) 436-9682 x 307

Facsimile: (415) 436-9683

Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

- BH # 145715

December 19, 2007 11:57:38

Civ Fil Non-Pris

\$350.00 CK

USAO #.: 07CV2379 CIVIL FILING

Judge..: THOMAS J WHELAN

Amount.:

Check#.: BC# D3005725

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: CIVIL FILING

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSIT V. U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE

Filed 12/1<u>9/</u>2007

P@9-79 Of 19\ A _

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY				UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE and DIRK KEMPTHORNE, Secretary of the Interior,			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	San Diego		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)			
Lisa T. Belenky, Center for Biological Diversity, 1095 Market St., S						BY FAX	
511, San Francisco, CA 9							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" is	One Box Only)			PRINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government	Not a Party)			TTF DEF I I Incorporated or P of Business In Th	PTF DEF Principal Place D 4 D 4	
S 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citize	en of Another State	2 2 Incorporated and	Principal Place	
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)			of Business In	Another State	
				en or Subject of a freign Country	CM 233Foreign-Plation	N N D 0 6 0 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On		****Erop	FEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY :	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		10 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury -	. 🗇 63	20 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal	1 410 Antitrust is 1	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	□ 6:	30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 60	60 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other	· ·	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	371 Truth in Lending	41 Val. 18	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage		10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	385 Property Damage	: [🗇 7:	20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
196 Franchise	Injury	Product Liability		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting	■ PRISONER PETITION ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat		40 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL/TAX SUITS		
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Texes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty			26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	540 Mandamus & Oti	her			Under Equal Access to Justice	
	446 Amer, w/Disabilities -	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	ŀ			950 Constitutionality of	
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights					State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) D1 Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Proceeding State Court (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Appellate Court Appellate Court Reinstated or Reopened (specify) Transferred from another district (specify) Appellate Court Appellate Cou							
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of ca	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing. (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. SS 1531-1544 Brief description of cause: challenge to inadequate critical habitat designation for the thread-leaved brodiaea and spreading navarretia					
VII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$		y if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 0.00					JURY DEMAND	e: 🗇 Yes Ø No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE Brewster			DOCKET NUMBER N	(o. 98-CV-2234- B (JMA)	
DATE		SIGNATURA OF AT	TORNEY O	OF RECORD	7		
12/18/2007		Vian.	して	Belen	دم		
RECEIPT # 145715 AMOUNT \$355 12/14/04 1841 APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE							
RECEIPT # 145715 AP	MOUNT \$350 1011	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE	